These letters are selected from rap id responses posted on bmj.com. Selection is usually made 12 days after print publication of the article to which they respond.

## **LETTERS**

BMJ | 24 OCTOBER 2009 | VOLUME 339

## PROSTATE SPECIFIC ANTIGEN

## French exceptionalism

Holmström and colleagues confirm that no single cut-off value for prostate specific antigen concentration attained likelihood ratios formally required for a screening test.<sup>1</sup> However, the number of men who would need to be offered screening to prevent one death from prostate cancer during a 10 year period is not 1068 but 1410.<sup>2</sup>



Everywhere, practice guidelines are up to date and clearly cite the unproved benefit of screening for prostate specific antigen, as well as the adverse effects (high risk of overdiagnosis and overtreatment).<sup>3</sup> Everywhere, that is, but in France. For the fifth consecutive year, French urologists are actively promoting prostate cancer screening,<sup>4</sup> despite the arguments.<sup>5</sup>
Alain Braillon doctor, Public Health, University Hospitals,

Alain Braillon doctor, Public Health, University Hospitals 80000 Amiens, France braillon.alain@chu-amiens.fr Competing interests: None declared.

- Holmström B, Johansson M, BerghA, Stenman UH, Hallmans G, Stattin P. Prostate specificantigen for early detection of prostate cancer: longitudinal study. BMJ 2009;339:b3537. (24 September.)
- 2 Barry MJ. Screening for prostate cancer—the controversy that refuses to die. NEngl / Med 2009; 360:1351-4.
- NHS Cancer Screening Programmes. Prostate cancer risk management, www.cancers creening.nhs, uk/prostate/ index.html
- 4 Association Française d'Urologie, 5ème Journée de la Prostate, www.urofrance.org/espace-general/actionsinformation/journee-prostate/journee-prostate-2009. html
- 5 Braillon A, Dubois G, Zielinski O. Screening for prostate cancer: a public campaign, evidence-based-medicine and conflicting interests. Eur J Public Health 2009; 19:2 22.

Citethisas: BMJ 2009;339:b4285